

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SCREENING COMMITTEE HELD ON 24TH
JANUARY 2012 TO CONSIDER NEW PROJECT PROPOSALS UNDER THE
SCHEME OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR PREVENTION OF ALCOHOLISM
AND SUBSTANCE (DRUGS) ABUSE AND FOR SOCIAL DEFENCE SERVICES.**

A meeting of the Screening Committee constituted by the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment was held on 24th January, 2012 under the Chairmanship of Shri T.R. Meena, Joint Secretary (Social Defence) to scrutinize and make recommendation on the new proposals of NGOs received through State Governments/Union Territories under the Scheme of Financial Assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse and for Social Defence Services. Shri M.M. Sabharwal from Helpage India, Fr. Joe Pereira from Kripa Foundation, and Shri T.V.A. Ram, Deputy Secretary (IFD) in the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, members of the Committee, were present in the meeting. Shri Lalsanglur, Director (SD), Shri Aujender Singh, Under Secretary (DP) and Shri J.K. Sahu, Under Secretary (Ageing) were asked by the Committee to assist in the scrutiny during the meeting.

2. At the outset, the Committee members were briefed about the existing guideline of the Ministry (i.e. Order No.1-31/2006-Cdn dated 05.09.2008), norms and guidelines of the Scheme, budget allocation for 2011-12 under the Scheme, notional allocation fixed for the States/UTs within the budgetary outlay, number of new proposals received after the last Screening Committee held on 14th June 2011, etc.

3. The Committee, in the first instant, has **observed that requirement of the current year recommendations of the State/UT Grants-in-aid Committee along with inspection reports for the current year for new project proposals is a hindrance for entrance of new organizations, because of the fact that recommendations of the State/UT Governments comes late and thereafter a considerable time is required for examining the proposals. The Committee, therefore, recommends that grant-in-aid to the new organizations should be considered on the basis of available recommendations and inspection report of the State/UT Government. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that guidelines contained in the Ministry's Office Order No.1-31/2006-Cdn dated 05.09.2008 may be suitably amended, if it is found feasible.**

4. Keeping in view the imposition of election code of conduct due to forthcoming Legislative Assembly elections, the Committee decided to defer consideration of the new proposals of NGOs received from the States of Goa, Punjab, Manipur, Uttar Pradesh and Uttrakhand.

5. The Committee then decided to give priority to those proposals which have been received from un-served/under-served States, followed by un-served/under-served districts, in that order, subject to fulfillment of other requirements of the scheme and availability of funds. The Committee also decided to give priority to the innovative projects, projects for most vulnerable groups like children, women etc. and proposals from States where high incidence of drug abuse is reported.

6. The Committee, during examination of the proposals, observed that a large number of new proposals recommended by the State Government were incomplete in many respects and therefore, did not find it possible to recommend these proposals. **The Committee decided that all such incomplete proposals should be returned to the respective State/UT Governments with the request to advise the concerned Organization to rectify these shortcomings and re-recommend for consideration of the Screening Committee in the future meeting.**

7. State wise position of the new proposals placed before the Committee are given as under:

(i) **Andhra Pradesh:** All the 5 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Andhra Pradesh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, found only one proposal suitable for recommendation whose detail is given as under:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	VISION	Medak	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure.**

(ii) **Assam:** All the 21 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Assam were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, did not find any of the proposals suitable for recommendation. Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(iii) **Bihar:** 2 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Bihar were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals, found only one proposal suitable for recommendation whose detail is given as under:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Dalit Mahila Jankalyan Sansthan	East Champaran	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure.**

(iv) **Chhattisgarh:** All the 3 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Chhattisgarh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, did not find any of the proposals suitable for recommendation. Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(v) **Delhi:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of NCT of Delhi was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail did not find it suitable for recommendation. Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(vi) **Haryana:** All the 3 new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Haryana were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail did not find any of the proposals suitable for recommendation. Details of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

(vii) **Himachal Pradesh:** One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Himachal Pradesh was placed before the Screening

Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail did not find it suitable for recommendation. Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure.

(viii) Jammu & Kashmir: 2 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Jammu & Kashmir were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, did not find any of the proposals suitable for recommendation. Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure.

(ix) Karnataka: All the 9 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Karnataka were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, recommended the following 5 proposals:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	DATE Charitable Society	Koppal	IRCA
2	Sri Akshaya Niketana Trust	Mandya	IRCA
3	Surabee Mahila Mandali	Uttara Kannada	IRCA
4	DATE Charitable Society	Chaitradurga	For organizing de-addiction camps by IRCA at Chaitradurga
5	Shri Shakthi Association, Guttur Colony, Davanagere District, Karnataka	Davanagere Karnataka	RRTC

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure. The Committee also decided that the organization Shri Shakthi Association may work as RRTC for Karnataka State.

(x) Kerala: All the 5 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Kerala were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, recommended the following 2 proposals:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Gandhi Bhavan, International Trust	Pathanamthitta	IRCA
2	Galaxy, Vallanad	Thiruvananthapuram	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at Annexure.

(xi) Madhya Pradesh: All the 11 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Madhya Pradesh were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, recommended the following 4 proposals:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Dastak Sewa Samiti,	Chhatarpur	IRCA

	Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh		
2	Kamalnayan Shiksaha Prasar Samiti, Azad, Madhya Pradesh	Jabalpur	IRCA
3	Ajenta lalit Kala Avam Samaj Kalyan Smiti- Videsha, Madhya Pradesh	Videsha	IRCA
4	New Pratap Shiksha Samiti, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh	Bhopal	RRTC

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**. The Committee also decided that the organization New Pratap Shiksha Samiti may work as RRTC for the States of Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh.

(xii) Maharashtra: All the 15 new proposals for IRCA duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Maharashtra were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, recommended the following 6 proposals:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Lokseva Shikshan Prasarak Mandal, Yavatmal	Akola	IRCA
2	Shri Satyasai Seva Sanskrutik Samajik Shaikshanik Mandal, Jalgaon	Beed	IRCA
3	Janhit Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha, Amravati	Amravati	IRCA
4	Aniket Bahuuddeshiya Santha	Nagpur	IRCA
5	Shri Vitthal Rukmini Sevabhavi Sanstha	Beed	IRCA
6	Kalyan Education Society	Nagpur	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

The Committee on examining the proposal of Krushi Vikas va Gramin Prakshikshan Sanstha Motala, Buldana for general grant in aid for their project to support the families of the farmers who have committed suicide did not find the Input-Output Analysis and Logical Framework analysis of the project furnished by the organization proper and therefore, did not recommend the project.

(xiii) Mizoram: All the 4 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Mizoram were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, decided to recommend only the proposal for up gradation of 15 bedded IRCA to 30 bedded IRCA whose detail is given as under:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1.	Zoram Drivers Ramthim Board, C/O Friends Automobile Enterprises, Chandmari, Aizawl	Aizawl	Up gradation of 15 bedded IRCA to 30 bedded IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee is at **Annexure**.

(xiv) Nagaland: One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Nagaland was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail did not find it suitable for recommendation. Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xv) Orissa: All the 8 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and are received through Govt. of Maharashtra were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. Out of these 8 proposals, 4 proposals were for setting up of RRTC. The Committee was also informed that after the organization Vivekanand Education Society withdrawal to work as RRTC, there was no RRTC to look after the State of Orissa at present. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, found only one proposal suitable for recommendation whose details is given as under:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Association for Voluntary Action (AVA), at Dampur, P.O. Berboi, Distt. Puri, Orissa	Dampur, Distt. Puri, Orissa	RRTC

Details of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**. The Committee also decided that the organization Association for Voluntary Action (AVA) may work as RRTC for the State of Orissa.

(xvi) Puducherry: One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Puducherry was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail did not find it suitable for recommendation. Details of the proposal along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xvii) Sikkim: All the 3 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Sikkim were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, found only one proposal suitable for recommendation whose detail is given as under:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Sikkim Rehabilitation and Detoxification Society, Nimtok, 32 Mile, East Sikkim	East Sikkim	IRCA

Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure**.

(xviii) Tamil Nadu: One new proposal duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of Tamil Nadu was placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The proposal was for up gradation of existing 15 bedded IRCA to 30 bedded IRCA. The Committee, on scrutinizing the proposal in detail recommended the same whose details is given as under:

Sl. No	Name of NGO	Project Location (District)	Project applied for
1	Mass Action Network India Trust	Thiruvallore	Up gradation of 15 bedded IRCA to 30 bedded IRCA

(xix) West Bengal: All the 3 new proposals duly recommended by the State level GIA Committee and received through Govt. of West Bengal were placed before the Screening Committee for consideration. The Committee, on scrutinizing these proposals in detail, did not find any of the proposals suitable for recommendation. Details of each of the proposals along with the remarks of the Committee are at **Annexure.**

8. The Committee could not consider the new proposals received from the remaining States due to shortage of time and decided to meet again to consider these cases. The recommendations of the Committee in para 7 above are subject to other conditions and completion of necessary formalities as per the norms/ guidelines of the Scheme.

(M. M. Sabharwal)
Member

(Fr. Joe Pereira)
Member

(T.V.A. Ram)
Member

(T.R. Meena)
Chairman

List of New Proposals under the Scheme of financial assistance for Prevention of Alcoholism and Substance (Drugs) Abuse and for Social Defence Services considered by the Screening Committee in its meeting held on 24.01.2012

Sl. No.	Name of the Organization	Project Location (District)	Date of State Govt. Recommendation	Remarks
(i) ANDHRA PRADESH				
1.	Gracy Organization for Developmental Services	Nizamabad	28.9.11	De-addiction not an objective in MOA
2.	PATH	Nizamabad	28.9.11	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, and in-adequate focus on de-addiction
3.	HOPE	Hyderabad	28.9.11	De-addiction not an objective in MOA
4.	VISION	Medak	28.9.11	Recommended
5.	Society for Rural Development	Ranga Reddy District	04.8.11	No mention about drug De-addiction in Annual report and organization profile. MOA illegible.
(ii) ASSAM				
1.	Integratee Dev. Association Vill. & P.O. Chamatapathar, Karup Assam	Goalpara	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not reflected as an objective in MOA.
2	Rupahi Kohinoor Club, Rupahal, Nagaon, Assam	Goalpara	01.10.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities
3	Dream Progressive Welfare Association Barbala, Parpela Assam	Barpeta	01.10.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent
4	North East Council of Alternative Medicines, H.O. Ismail Market, Central Road, Silchar, Cachar	Silchar	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not reflects in IR. Audit report not available.
5	Barak Valley Welfare Dev. Society Silchar, Assam	Silchar	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not reflected as an objective in MOA, and annual report.
6	Dikrong Valley Environment & Rural Deve. Society ,Vill No. 1, Barpathar, Lakhimpur,	Dhemaji	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not reflected in MOA and annual report.

	Assam			
7	Golaghat Nirman Mahila Got (NGO) Bengana Khuwa, Near Railway Gate Law College Road, Golaghat, Assam	Golaghat	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not reflected in MOA and annual report.
8	Kiran, Ward No. 11. K.B Road, Distt. Lakhimpur, Assam	Lakhimpur	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not shown in annual and audit report.
9	Jaluguti Agragami Mahila Samiti Morigaon, Assam	Morigaon	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not shown in annual and audit report.
10	Nag Jeevan Aids, Tengramari Distt. Bongaoigaon, Assam	Bongaoigaon	01.10.2011	Inspection report (photocopies) Drug prevention not shown in MOA, annual and audit report.
11	Organization for Educational & Other Rural Dev. Hojal	Nogaon	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not shown in MOA, annual and audit report.
12	Morigaon Mahila Mehfil Civil Hospital Road, Ward No, 7, PO & Distt, Morigaon	Morigaon	01.10.2011	Drug prevention work not shown in MOA annual and audit report.
13	Society of Bokajan Greater (Grebosil) H.O. Marjong Aklam (Amrajan) Sukhanjan, Distt. Karbi Anglong	Karbi Anglong	01.10.2011	Drug prevention work not shown in MOA, annual and audit report.
14	Sadai Asom Gramya Puthibharal Santhan, Tellaipatty, Nagaon Assam	Morigaon	01.10.2011	Drug prevention work not shown in MOA, annual and audit report.
15	Naba Astha, Khutikatia Nagaon Assam	Kuthari Nagaon	01.10.2011	Drug prevention work not shown in annual report.
16	Nagaon Distt. Blood Donation Scheme Nagaon Assam	Nagaon	01.10.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent
17	Evergreen Dev. Society Vill. & PO Adabari, Distt. Nalbari, Assam	Nalbari	01.10.2011	Drug prevention work not shown in MOA annual and audit report.
18	Softseed, Kaliabor, Nagaon	Sonitpur	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not shown as an objective in the MOA.

19	Rupjyoti Social Welfare Society, Sonitpur, Assam	Sonitpur	01.10.2011	Drug prevention not shown as an objective in the MOA.
20	North East Peoples Right, Vill- Chahinibi, PO Temon, Distt. Sivsagar, Assam	Sivsagar	01.10.2011	Annual report of 2009-10 and 2010-11 not available
21	North East Voluntary Association Rural Develp. (NEVAD) Guwahati, Assam	Kamrup	01.10.2011	De- addiction not mentioned as an objective in MOA, Annual Report and Audit Report.
(iii)	BIHAR			
1.	Rajendra Institute of Education and Social Welfare	Sitamarhi	24.10.11	No mention of de-addiction in MOA. No annual report.
2.	Dalit Mahila Jankalyan Sansthan	East Champaran	24.10.11	Recommended
(iv)	CHHATTISGARH			
1.	Kalyani Social Welfare & Research Organization, Chhattisgarh	Durg	26.07.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, and in-adequate focus on de-addiction
2	Nevabhilasha Skikshan Sansthan, Dongararh Chhattisgarh	Rajnandgaon	26.07.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, and in-adequate focus on de-addiction
3.	Gayatri Pariwar Trust Batauli , Chhattisgarh	Surguja	26.07.2011	De-addiction not reflecting in MOA, Annual Report and Audit Report.
(v)	DELHI			
1.	Rashtra Nirman Samiti, Teliyarganj, Allahabad	Naraina Industrial Area, New Delhi	25.09.2011	De-addiction not an objective in MOA.
(vi)	HARYANA			
1.	Haryana Thalassemia Society, 16, Near Jindal Hospital, Model town, Hissar	Hissar	19.092011	No annual report
2.	Akhil Bhartiya Nav Yuvak Kala Sangam, Near Radha Swami Satsang Bhawan (Dinod), Rohtak, Dist. Bhawani	Bhiwani	19.09.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, and mismatch between

				expenditure statement and balance sheet.
3.	Haryana Nav Yuvak Kala Sangam, 46, Sector-1, Rohtak	Rhotak	19.09.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent. No enough supporting documents on the activities.
(vii)	HIMACHAL PRADESH			
1	Naya Saver, Vill. Jhiri, P.O.Nagwain, Distt, Kangra,H.P.	Kangra	25.08..2011	Exp statement and balance sheet are at variance
(viii)	JAMMU & KASHMIR			
1.	J&K Pakeeza Welfare Forum	De- addiction camps in Poonch rajouri distt.	22.8.11	As per the Scheme De-addiction camps can be organized by existing IRCAs only
2.	Star Club Social Welfare Society	Poonch	22.8.11	No activity on De-addiction in annual report & audited A/c.
(ix)	KARNATAKA			
1.	DATE Charitable Society	Koppal	19.9.2011	Recommended
2.	Sri Akshaya Niketana Trust	Mandya	19.9.2011	Recommended
3.	Basava Karya Samithi	Yadgir	19.9.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, and in-adequate focus on de-addiction
4.	Sirakshitha Women and Children Multi Welfare Cultural Social Charitable Trust	Hassan	19.9.2011	De-addiction not an objective in MOA. -No expenditure on drug de-addiction programme.
5.	Shishira Health Foundation	Bangalore (U)	19.9.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent. Less focus on de-addiction activities.
6.	Father Muller Charitable Institutions	Mangalore	30.9.2011	Less focus on de-addiction and four centre already

				exists in the district
7.	Surabee Mahila Mandali	Uttara Kannada	23.8.2011	Recommended
8.	DATE Charitable Society	For organizing de- addiction camps	19.9.2011	Recommended
9.	Shri Shakthi Association, Guttur Colony, Davanagere District, Karnataka	For setting up of RRTC at Davanagere Karnataka	23.8.2011	Recommended
(x)	KERALA			
1.	Gandhi Bhavan, International Trust	Pathanamthitta	05.11.2011	Recommended
2.	St. Gregorius Medical Mission Hospital	Pathanamthitta	05.11.2011	No focus on de- addiction through whole person recovery
3.	Galaxy, Vallanad	Thiruvanantha puram	01.08.2011	Recommended
4.	Rose Public Library, Narokkavu, Palemad	Malappuram	06.12.2011	No annual report.
5.	National Service Society, Perinthalmanna	Malappuram	06.12.2011	De-addiction not mention in MOA.
(xi)	MADHYA PRADESH			
1.	Lok Shiksha Evam Prashikshan Samiti Khandwa, M.P	Khandwa	19.8.2011	Existing activities are on tobacco and there is no focus on de-addiction
2.	Dastak Sewa Samiti, Chhatarpur M.P	Chhatarpur	19.8.2011	Recommended
3.	Gram Seva Trust, Pataleshwar, Ward-3 Distt. Chhindwar	Chhindwara	19.8.2011	No evidence of work done on recovery of addicts
4.	Shri Mekal Suta shkshan Samiti, Mata Mandri Gwltloi Hoshangabad M.P	Hoshangabad	19.8.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and expenditure statement
5.	Red Swastik Society, Distt Hospital Compound Narsinghpur	Narsinghpur	19.8.2011	I/R indicates the year as 2010-11, whereas the recommendations of the State Govt are for 2011-12
6.	Society of Multipurpose Improvements in Living Environment 5/4, Sanjay Complex, Mata Mandir Bhopal	Bhopal	19.8.2011	Does not meet the basic standard of competency

7.	Kamalnayan Shiksha prasar Samiti, Azad Madhyapradesh	Jabalpur	19.8.2011	Recommended
8.	Ambuca Shiksha Samaj Kalyan Society Shahura, Bhopal	Raisen	19.8.2011	Drug Prevention work and expenditure not clearly shown in audit report.
9.	M.P. Prakratic Chikitsalaya Totha Mahavidyalaya Samiti Gwalior At Distt. Shivpur M.P	Shivpur	19.8.2011	Lack of competency in the field of de-addiction
10.	Ajenta lalit Kala Avam Samaj Kalyan Smiti-Videsha, M.P.	Videsha	19.8.2011	Recommended
11.	New Pratap Shiksha Samiti, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh	RRTC at Bhopal,	19.8.2011	Recommended
(xii)	MAHARASHTRA			
1.	Lokseva Shikshan Prasarak Mandal, Yavatmal	Akola	29.7.11	Recommended
2.	Gram Sudhar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal, Akola	Akola	29.7.11	No annual report MOA in Marathi
3.	Samta Gramvikas Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha, Yavatmal	Gadchiroli	29.7.11	De addiction not an objective in MOA
4.	Lokmanya Shikshan Prasarak Mandal, Latur	Osmanabad	29.7.11	Discrepancy in reported activities and less focus on de-addiction
5.	Shri Satyasai Seva Sanskrutik Samajik Shaikshanik Mandal, Jalgaon	Beed	29.7.11	Recommended
6.	Janhit Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha, Amravati	Amravati	29.7.11	Recommended
7.	Anandvan Bahhudeshiya Sanstha	Pune	24.10.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, less focus on de-addiction
8.	Jeeven Dhara	Kalyan	24.10.2011	No mention of De-addiction in Annual report No Expenditure towards De-addiction in audit report
9.	Hari Krupa	Beed	24.10.2011	Discrepancy in

	Bahuuddeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha			reported activities and amount spent, less focus on de-addiction
10.	Aniket Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha	Nagpur	24.10.2011	Recommended
11.	Maitree Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha	Nagpur	24.10.2011	I/R is a photocopy
12.	Shri Vitthal Rukmini Sevabhavi Sanstha	Beed	24.10.2011	Recommended
13.	Prajwal Bahuuddeshiya Shikshan Sanstha	Nagpur	24.10.2011	No annual Report MOA in Marathi
14.	Kalyan Education Society	Nagpur		Recommended
15.	Krushi Vikas Va Gramin Prashikshan Sanstha, Motala, Buldhana	Buldhana	28.6.2010	Proposal for general grant in aid to support the families of farmers who have committed suicide. Input-Output analysis and Logical Framework analysis of the project furnished by the organization not proper.
(xiii)	MIZORAM			
1.	Gilead Healing Centre, Bazar Veng, Lawngtlai, Mizoram	Lawmgtlai	09.08.2011	De-addiction not reflected in MOA, annual report and audit report
2.	Society for Community Care, Agaper Home Hqr. Aizawl Mizoram	Aizawl	09.08.2011	De-addiction not reflected in Audit Report.
3.	Women Anati- Drug-Association F. Sapa Building, Chanmari-III-Lunglei, Mizoram	Lunglei	09.08.2011	De-addiction not reflected in Annual Report and Audit Report
4.	Zoram Drivers Ramthim Board, C/O Friends Automobile Enterprises, Chandmari, Aizawl	Aizawl	09.08.2011	The organization is running a 15 bedded Drugs De-addiction Centre State Govt. has recommend for up gradation to 30 bedded
(xiv)	NAGALAND			
1.	Arok Khu Society, Tuli Mokokchung, Nagaland	Mokokchung	19.08.2011	De-addiction not reflected MOA

				and Audit Report
(xv)	ORISSA			
1.	Institute for Self Employment and Rural Development (ISERD)	Keonjhar	24.10.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, less focus on de-addiction
2.	Juba Jyoti Jubak Sangha	Sonepur	24.10.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, less focus on de-addiction
3.	DARIA	Jagatsinghpur	24.10.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, less focus on de-addiction and two centres already exists in the district
4.	Jana Kalyan Seva Sanstha	Nuapada	24.10.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent, less focus on de-addiction
5.	Association for Voluntary Action (AVA), At Dampur, P.O. Berboi, Distt. Puri, Orissa	RRTC at Dampur, Distt. Puri, Orissa	12.12.2011	Recommended
6.	Association for Social Reconstructive Activities (ASRA), Marlat Nagar, Cuttack, Orissa	Nuagaon, Distt. Jagatsinghpur	12.12.2011	Only one RRTC for a State is required and proposal at Sr. No 5 already recommended
7.	Nilachal Seva Pratisthan, Dayavihar, Distt. Puri, Orissa	Dayavihar (Kanas), Distt. Puri	12.12.2011	-do-
8.	Vishwa Jeevan Seva Sangha, Saradhapur, Khordha, Orissa	Samantrapur, Distt. Khurda	3.2.2011	-do-
(xvi)	PUDUCHERRY			
1.	Mother Trust (Mind Body Medicine Organization for Treatment, Training, Holistic Education and Research)	Puducherry	15.9.11	Discrepancy in reported activities and amount spent
(xvii)	SIKKIM			
1.	Sikkim Rehabilitation and Detoxification Society,	East Sikkim	25.08.2011	Recommended

	Nimtok, 32 Mile, East Sikkim			
2.	Bethzatha Society, Serenity Home, Burtuk, Gangtok, Sikkim	Gangtok	25.08.2011	Discrepancy in reported activities and expenditure statement
3.	Hope Centre, Namchi, South Sikkim	South Sikkim	25.08.2011	De-addiction not reflected in MOA, Annual Report and Audit Report
(xviii)	TAMILNADU			
1.	Mass Action Network India Trust	Thiruvallore (for up gradation of 15 bedded IRCA to 30 bedded IRCA).	01.08.2011	Recommended
(xix)	WEST BENGAL			
1	Dum Dum Park Unnaya Samannay 172, Lake Town, Block-A, Kolkata	Darjeeling	16.12.2011	Lack of competency in the field of de-addiction
2	Kalpataru (A Charitable Trust) Natun Bazar, (Kali Mandir), PO Mendinipur,	Bankura	16.12.2011	Mismatch between the data and lack of competency in the field of de-addiction
3	Vishwabharati Seve Kendra, Vill Dum-Dum, PO. Brindakhali, Dist. South 24-parganas	South 24-parganas	16.12.2011	No work done in the field of de-addiction
