

**Minutes of the Meeting of the Screening Committee meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2012 for scrutiny/recommending of new cases under the scheme of grant in aid to Voluntary Organizations working for Scheduled Castes.**

The screening committee meeting was held on 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2012 under the chairmanship of Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Joint Secretary (SCD). The two non-official members of the Committee present were (i) Shri M.B. Deshmukh, Secretary, Servants of India Society and (ii) Dr. Bezwada Wilson, Convenor, Safai Karmachari Andolan.

2. At the outset, the Committee was informed about the budget allocation for 2011-12 under the scheme, notional allocation fixed for the States/UTs within the budgetary outlay, committed liability for funding existing ongoing projects, number of proposals already recommended by the Screening Committee during 2009-10 and 2010-11 but grants are yet to be released and number of proposals received during 2011-12. In this connection, the Committee was informed that as per the policy decision in the Ministry's Office Order No.1-31/2006-Cdn., dated 05.09.2008 and 23.02.2009, recommendations of the State GIA Committee for new projects would remain valid for the financial year following the year in which they were received and recommendations of the Screening Committee within the Ministry would also remain valid for one year following the year in which they are recommended. The Committee was also informed that as per the Scheme, recommendations of State/UT Grants-in-Aid Committee along with inspection reports for the current year are required each and every year for releasing of grant to any project proposal under the Scheme.

3. The committee adopted the following parameters for selection of new cases during the year 2011-12:-

- (i) Mandatory Recognition of the schools under the relevant statute.
- (ii) As per this Ministry's O.M. No.62-01/2007-cdn dated 27.09.2007, top priority to be given to the projects of schools. Priority for other projects are to be decided by the Committee.
- (iii) Computer education projects should be recognized by DOEACC/Universities/Accreditation bodies.
- (iv) School projects to be considered only where the SC rural female literacy level of the District is not more than 30% as per 2001 census, alternatively such projects in districts where SC female literacy rate is less than that of State level.
- (v) Not more than 2 similar projects are to be allowed in the same district;
- (vi) As a pre-requisite, Proposals are essentially required to be recommended by the State GIA Committee.
- (vii) The project related to control of Blindness should be considered by the Disability Division in the Ministry or Deptt. of Health, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.

- (viii) In case of projects namely Ten Bedded/Mobile Dispensary the medical/Para medical staff engaged by the Organisation should essentially have the requisite Professional qualification and furnish attested photocopies thereof, besides NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE to run it from competent medical authority in the State.
- (ix) Intra state priorities taken into consideration SCs population of the State concerned.
- (x) Availability of fund allocated under the scheme
- (xi) The rejected cases to be returned to the State Govt. concerned.

4. As per general guidelines for assisting Non-Governmental Organizations/Voluntary Organizations, "in order to be eligible for assistance, a voluntary organization should have been registered for a period of at least 2 years and should have been actively working in its sector for a period of 2 years. Such projects will not be taken up for assistance where the activities are proposed to commence after the project is sanctioned and funds released by the Ministry." The NGO should also fulfill other conditions required under the scheme as per checklist prescribed in this regard.

5. The Committee has taken into account the prevailing status of performance in the existing scheme and financial availability for undertaking new projects. While doing so the committee may take note of the fact that the Ministry has already been funding around 600 projects through 400 NGOs spread out in the country.

5. It is evident from the releases for the years 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11 that some States have been receiving substantial shares of the total grant-in-aid released under the Scheme disproportionate their SC population percentage. These States are Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Delhi, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Manipur, Rajasthan & Orissa. In some States namely, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Tripura & Uttaranchal, grant-in-aid share moderately exceed their SC population percentage. Other states namely Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Haryana, Jharkhand, Kerala, Punjab, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh & West Bengal receive proportionately less grant-in-aid in relation to their SC population percentage.

6. In this meeting, 99 new cases screened and 20 cases those considered in the previous meeting reviewed consequent upon submission of further clarifications/documents by the NGOs, after deliberation at length and decision thereon has been incorporated in the Annexure attached to these minutes.

**(M.B. Deshmukh)**  
**Member**

**(Dr. Bezwada Wilson)**  
**Member**

**(Sanjeev Kumar)**  
**Chairman of the Screening Committee**

**REVIEW OF 20 CASES SCREENED BY THE SCREENING COMMITTEE IN ITS MEETING HELD ON 10th & 11th January, 2012 CONSEQUENT UPON SUBMISSION OF FURTHER CLARIFICATIONS/DOCUMENTS BY THE NGOs**

S. No.	Name of the State	Name of the organisation with complete address	Project Applied for	Location of the Project	District	No. of Beneficiaries	Recommendation of Screening Committee	Observation of Screening Committee in its meeting held during previous meetings	Recommendation of the Committee during its meeting held on 10th & 11th January, 2012.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Maharashtra	Gramin Mahila Magasvargiya Bahuuddeshiya Samaj Vikas Sanstha, Bandhu Nagar, Koradi Road, Nagpur	Mobile Dispensary	A/P Patansanogi, Tah. Saoner, Distt. Nagpur	Nagpur	Not specified	Not recommended	Memorandum of Association does not mandate the organization to run Mobile Dispensary. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 30.08.2011 at S.No.32).	The organisation has submitted revised MOA, which mandates to run Mobile Dispensary as well as Ten Bedded Hospital. This is notarised one and not registered under the State statutes. <b>Therefore, the Committee recommends that the State Government may be requested to examine the same and provide their recommendations.</b>
2	Maharashtra	Gramin Mahila Magasvargiya Bahuuddeshiya Samaj Vikas Sanstha, Bandhu Nagar, Koradi Road, Nagpur	Ten-Bedded Hospital	A/P Patansanogi, Tah. Saoner, Distt. Nagpur	Nagpur	Not specified	Not recommended	Memorandum of Association does not mandate the organization to run Ten Bedded Hospital. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 30.08.2011 at S.No.33).	The organisation has submitted revised MOA, which mandates to run Mobile Dispensary as well as Ten Bedded Hospital. This is notarised one and not registered under the State statutes. <b>Therefore, the Committee recommends that the State Government may be requested to examine the same and provide their recommendations.</b>

3	Maharashtra	Shri Ramkrishna Baba Education, Sport and Multipurpose Society, Risod, Tq.- Risod, District- Washim	Ten Bedded Hospital	Gaibhipura, Behind Sadanand Taikies, Risod, District- Washim	Washim	Not specified	Not recommended	The inspection report shows the date of commencement of the project as "01.07.2010" whereas in the Application-cum-Monitoring Form it has been shown as "05.05.2010". So, there is a contradiction in the date of commencement of the project. Further, the activity of running Ten Bedded Hospital has not been reflected in the audited accounts of the organization. However, the guidelines for assisting the NGOs under the scheme inter-alia provides that in order to be eligible for assistance, a voluntary organisation should have been actively working in its sector for a period of two years. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 30.08.2011 at S.No.36).	clarification given by Government of Maharashtra vide letter no. KPYU-2010/CR-347/Budget dated 20.10.2011 is not found to be satisfactory. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
---	-------------	---	---------------------	--	--------	---------------	-----------------	---	---

4	Maharashtra	Late Wasram Naik Bahuuddeshiya Magaswargiya Aroggya Seva & Education Institute, Shelgaon, Tq. Barshi, Takali, Akola	Ten-Bedded Hospital	Karla Dev Tq. Pusaid Tq. Pusad, District Yavatmal	Yavatmal	Not specified	Not recommended	The inspection report shows the date of commencement of the project as "14.03.2002" whereas the Application-cum-Monitoring Form does not have date of commencement of the project. Annual Report for only one year is available i.e. for 2009-10 against the same required for the last two years. The activity of running Ten Bedded Hospital does not find place in the annual report for 2009-10. As per the guidelines the number of similar projects in a district should not exceed two. Whereas in the district "Yavatmal" of Maharashtra three projects of ten bedded hospital are already being funded under the scheme which are being run by i) Insaf-e-Sariyat Welfare Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha, ii) Help Bahuuddeshiya and iii) Samta Gram Vikas Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 30.08.2011 at S.No.18).	Consolidated accounts of the Sanstha for the financial year 2010-11 and of the project for which the grant has been asked in four parts viz. income and expenditure account, receipt and payment account, balance sheet and auditor's report not submitted by the organisation. Proposal submitted one, list of employees is for financial year 2010-11 and list of beneficiaries, budget enclosed is for 2011-12. Thus there is contradiction. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
---	-------------	---	---------------------	---	----------	---------------	-----------------	--	--

5	Maharashtra	Rajarshi Shahu Maharaj Sarvopachar Runnalay Jat, Sale Building, Chatri Bsg Road, Jat , Dstt. Sangli	10 Bedded Hospital	Sale Building, Chatri Bsg Road, Jat , Dstt. Sangli	Sangli	Not applicable	Not recommended	Annual Reports for the year 2008-09 and 2009-10 are not found enclosed. List of beneficiaries is not found enclosed and it is nowhere established in the proposal that the Organisation is catering to atleast 60% SC beneficiaries, which is a mandatory requirement under para 2.2(g) of the scheme. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 13th & 14th May, 2011 at S.No.52).	The organisation has submitted annual reports for 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11 and a list of 103 beneficiaries. All the above annual reports have been prepared on 5.06.2011. Moreover, these reports do not indicate the activity of Ten Bedded Hospital. List of beneficiaries do not indicate the period for which it belongs to. Moreover the proposal is for the year 2009-10, hence, it can not be considered in the current financial year. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
---	-------------	---	--------------------	--	--------	----------------	-----------------	--	---

6	Maharashtra	Rajarshi Shahu Maharaj Sarvopachar Runnalay Jat, Sale Building, Chatri Bsg Road, Jat , Dstt. Sangli	Mobile Dispensary	Inam Dhamni Tal- Miraj, Dstt. Sangli	Sangli	Not applicable	Not recommended	In the IR, the date of commencement of the project has been shown as 2010-11. The guidelines for assisting the NGOs under the scheme inter-alia provides that in order to eligible for assistance, a voluntary organisation should have been actively working in its sector for a period of two years. Memorandum of Association does not mandate the organization to run Ten Bedded hospital. There is no reflection of the activity of running a Mobile Dispensary either in the Annual Report or in the audited accounts. List of beneficiaries enclosed with the proposal pertains to 10 Bedded Hospital and not to the project fo Mobile Dispensary. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 13th & 14th May, 2011 at S.No.53).	The organisation has submitted annual report for 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11 alongwith a copy of their proposal of Mobile Dispensary for grant-in-aid for 2010-11. All the above annual reports have been prepared on 05.06.2011. Moreover, these reports do not indicate the activity of Mobile Dispensary. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
---	-------------	---	-------------------	--------------------------------------	--------	----------------	-----------------	---	--

7	Maharashtra	Kranti Jyoti Savitribai Phule Mahila Vikas Bahuudeshiya Sanstha, Plot No.28, Gatt No. 41/3, Droupati Nagar, Nr. Gajanan Marbal, Jalgaon	Ten Bedded Hospital	15 Pratap Nagar, Opp. Sayali Hotel, Ring Road	Jalgaon	Not specified under the scheme	Not recommended	<p>only 50 beneficiareis are there in a whole year. It may not be appropriate to approve the proposal as the number of beneficiaries are too less. Hence, not recommended. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 14.1.2011 at S.No.59)</p> <p><b>Subsequently, the case was considered in the Screening Committee meeting dated 13th &amp; 14th May, 2011.</b> NGO vide letter dated 7.3.2011 had represented against the decision of the Committee pointing out that number of beneficiaries as pe Annual Report are as under:- 2007-08 :250; 2008-09:650; 2009-10: 400-500; and 2010-11:2200-2300.</p> <p>The Committee recommended that the State Govt. may be requested to submit the complete proposal for the current financial year.</p>	State Government has recommended for grant for the financial year, 2011-12. <b>Hence, Recommended.</b>
---	-------------	---	---------------------	---	---------	--------------------------------	-----------------	---	--



8	Maharashtra	Aishwarya Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha, 167, Siddharth Nagar Teka Kamptee Road, Nagpur	Craft Training Center	Salam Dalit Area, Baba Deep Nagar, S. Constitue North, Nagpur	Nagpur	50	Not recommended	The activity of running Craft Training Center has not been reflected in the audited accounts of the organization for the year 2008-09 and 2009-10. The guidelines for assisting the NGOs under the scheme inter-alia provides that in order to be eligible for assistance, a voluntary organisation should have been actively working in its sector for a period of two years. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 13th & 14th May, 2011 at S.No.11)	Audited accounts of the organisation submitted for the financial 2010-11 indicates expenditure of Rs.70,000 on garment manufacturing and dress course submitted alongwith the proposal for the financial 2011-12. Audited accounts of the project for the last two years not furnished. Submission of Audited Accounts in four parts of the project consisting of income and expenditure, receipt and Payments, balance sheet and auditor's report for the last two years is mandatory requirement under the scheme. The proposal for 2011-12 not recommended by the State Grant-in-aid Committee. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
---	-------------	---	-----------------------	---	--------	----	-----------------	---	---

9	Maharashtra	Aishwarya Bahuuddeshiya Sanstha, 167, Siddharth Nagar Teka Kamptee Road, Nagpur	Dispensary/ Ten Bedded Hospital	Ward No. 1, Bajar Road Mathani, Post : Mathani, Taluka Moudha, Nagpur	Nagpur	Not applicable	Not recommended	It is no where established in the proposal that the Organisation is catering to atleast 60% SC beneficiaries, which is a mandatory requirement under para 2.2(g) of the scheme. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 13th & 14th May, 2011 at S.No.12)	While considering proposal for 2009-10, Screening Committee made these observations, which is stated to have been rectified by the NGO in the financial year 2011-12 by way of submitting proposal for 2011-12 and inspection of the project conducted on 18.12.2011 by the State Government officer. The proposal for 2011-12 has not been recommended by State Grant-in-aid Committee as per extant procedure. List of beneficiaries in support of their claim of coverage of 70% SC beneficiaries for the period 2009-10 and 2010-11 not submitted. Audited accounts of the project for the last two years not furnished. Submission of Audited Accounts in four parts of the project consisting of income and expenditure, receipt and Payments, balance sheet and auditor's report for the last two years is mandatory requirement under the scheme. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
---	-------------	---	---------------------------------	---	--------	----------------	-----------------	--	--

10	Maharashtra	Mahatma Fule Yuvak Vikas Mandal, 78, ramnagar, Wadibhokar Road, Deopur, Dhule	Hostel (Primary)	Junawane, Tal. & Distt. Dhule	Dhule	129	Not Recommended.	As per the IR, The date of commencement of the project is 27.4.2010. The guidelines for assisting the NGOs under the scheme inter-alia provides that in order to eligible for assistance, a voluntary organisation should have been actively working in its sector for a period of two years. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 11.11.2010 at S.No.83)	The organisation has represented that they are running Ashram School since 2000. They are receiving grant-in-aid from the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment for non-residential primary school. They are also providing hostel facilities to students from their own funding, therefore, they should be provided financial assistance for hostel (Primary) for SCs. Moreover, no proposal for the current financial year alongwith the recommendation of State GIA Committee has been received. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
----	-------------	---	------------------	-------------------------------	-------	-----	------------------	---	---

11	Maharashtra	Mahatma Fule Shikshan Sanstha, Tq. Manora, Dist. Washim, MS	Ophthalmic Nurse Technician Training Centre	Tq. Darwah, Distt. Yavatmal	Yavatmal	40	Not Recommended.	The Audited a/c for 2008-09 and 2009-10 are not enclosed with the proposal, which is a mandatory requirement. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 13th & 14th May, 2011 at S.No.39)	Organisation has submitted Consolidated accounts of the organisation for the financial year 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11, which do not show expenditure incurred on the activity of Ophthalmic Nurse Technician Training Centre. Audited accounts of the project for the last two years not furnished. Submission of Audited Accounts in four parts of the project consisting of income and expenditure, receipt and Payments, balance sheet and auditor's report for the last two years is mandatory requirement under the scheme. As per the inspection report, the project is not recognised by the State Government. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
----	-------------	---	---	-----------------------------	----------	----	------------------	--	---

(M. B. Deshmukh)  
Member

(Sanjeev Kumar)  
Chairman of the Screening Committee

(Dr. Bezwada Wilson)  
Member

12	Maharashtra	Shri Banling Bahu-uddeshiya Va Sanshodhan Sanstha, Fondashiras, Tal. Malshiras, Distt. Solapur	Ten Bedded Hospital	A/p- Fondashiras, Tal. Malshiras, Distt. Solapur, Near Pune-Pandharpur	Solapur	NA	Not recommended	In the IR, the number of beneficiaries found present at the time of inspection has been shown 1. Further, the list of beneficiaries enclosed with the proposal does not provide the caste wise breakup of the beneficiaries and it has not been shown that the project is providing coverage to atleast 60% SC beneficiaries as stipulated in para 2.2(g) of the scheme which is a mandatory condition. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 04.06.2010 at S.No.140)	The clarification has now been furnished by the NGO for the year 2008-09. Proposal for current year is not received from the Maharashtra Government. Hence, the proposal for the year 2008-09 can not be considered now. <b>Not, recommended.</b>
13	UP	Dr. Ambedkar Gramin Anusuchit Jati/Janjati Awasiya Vidhyalaya, Vill-Karaut, PO Karisath (Jahanaganj), Azamgarh	Residential Primary School	Vill- Karaut, PO Karisath (Jahanaganj), Azamgarh	Azamgarh	208	Not recommended	The IR submitted by Distt. Social Welfare Officer dated 4.6.2010 in its para 18 has not indicated the number of student found present at the time of inspection. It is therefore, difficult to ascertain the number of SC student actually studying in the School. In the absence of this clarification, the proposal cannot be considered. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 13th & 14th May, 2011 at S.No.82).	The representation dated 15.12.2011 of the organisation only refers the recommendation of State Government and IR sent by Social welfare Department of UP Government sent by them vide letter dated 18.03.2011, which has already been considered by the Screening Committee. No new material as per observations of Screening Committee has been recieved from NGO or, State Government. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>

14	Uttar Pradesh	Akhil Bharat Dalit Vikas Parishad, B-35, Rajajipuram, Lucknow-226017 (U.P.)	Computer Training Centre for SC/ST	B-35, Rajajipuram, Lucknow-226017 (U.P.)	Lucknow	30	Not recommended	The inspection report shows the date of commencement of the project as "Proposed. 2011" whereas in the Application-cum-Monitoring Form it has been shown as "From the date of sanction and release of fund". However, the guidelines for assisting the NGOs under the scheme inter-alia provides that in order to be eligible for assistance, a voluntary organisation should have been actively working in its sector for a period of two years. Hence, not eligible. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 30.08.2011 at S.No.5).	The organisation has represented that they are totally engaged in the area of SC/ST welfare wherein financial assistance was sought to implement Computer Training Programme. The claim of the organisation is not supported by documentary evidence such as inspection report, list of beneficiaries etc. Thus the case can not be examined in its entirety. Further, the proposal does not meet the mandatory requirement of being recognized by DOEACC/ UNIVERSITY/ ACCREDITATION BODY. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b>
----	---------------	---	------------------------------------	--	---------	----	-----------------	---	---

15	Bihar	Dishayen, Baghawa Galli, Galla Mohalla, Bhojpur, Arrah, Pin-802301, Bihar	Vocational Training Centre	Baghawa Galli, Galla Mohalla, Bhojpur, Arrah, Pin-802301, Bihar	Arrah	100	Not recommended	Memorandum of Association of the organisation has not been found enclosed with the proposal. The Inspection Report of March, 2010 is not in original. The proposal does not mention the specific type of vocational training to be imparted. (Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 13th & 14th May, 2011 at S.No.5).	The Government of Bihar with the approval of Secretary, Anushuchit Jati Evam Janjati Kalyan Vibhag has recommended the proposal of the organisation for vocational training programmes enclosing inspection report dated 08.06.2011. As per IR, there are two projects viz. electronic trade and tailoring and cutting trade for which grant-in-aid has been asked for. No separate proposal alongwith the accounts in four parts viz. income and expenditure account, receipt and payment account, balance sheet and auditor's report for the project for which grant-in-aid has been sought is furnished. Project-wise list of beneficiaries not submitted. <b>The Committee took a view that the Organisation may submit separate proposal for each project through State Government duly recommended by the State Grant-in-aid Committee. Not recommended.</b>
----	-------	---	----------------------------	---	-------	-----	-----------------	--	--

16	Karnata	Swabhimaan Education Society, Vedavathinagara, KHB Colony, Hiriya-572144, Chitradurga	Mobile Dispensary	Dharmapura, Hiriya Taluk, Chitradurga Distt.	Chitradurga	Not specified under the scheme	Not recommended	<p>(Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 14.1.2011 at S.No.73).</p> <p><b>Subsequently, the case was considered in the Screening Committee meeting dated 13th &amp; 14th May, 2011.</b> NGO has stated vide their letter dated 18.3.11 that they have conducted number of programmes including health awareness programmes in rural areas. The necessity of this type of hospital/dispensary facility are very much essential for welfare of poor people particularly SCs. In the Annual Report 2008-09 and 2010, the object of this scheme is already furnished. Hence, as a special case and interest of rural poor SC people, the projects of mobile dispensary/hospital may be sanctioned.</p> <p>The Committee recommended that a feedback about the proposed project may be obtained from some expert associated with health/rehabilitation sector before taking a view in the matter.</p>	<p>As per the decision of the Screening Committee, the matter was taken up with the Karnataka Medical Council vide this Ministry's letter dated 13.07.2011. Karnataka Medical Council has submitted a report vide its letter dated 25.08.2011. One member of the Medical Council inspected the project on 25.08.2011. He has stated that ---- "there is a well lit room of 20x25ft. with minimum furniture for Doctors, Nurses and an Attendar. One Ayurvedic Doctor has been on their roll, an attendar and a Nurse midwife. I was informed the doctor and staff appointed on a contractual basis since the programme is under proposal. Conducted school children health checkup and villagers health check up by MBBS qualified doctor from nearby places engaged on part time basis". The Karnataka medical council has recommended the case. <b>The Screening Committee after going through the records recommends GIA for this project.</b></p>
----	---------	---	-------------------	--	-------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	--	---



17	Karnata	Swabhimaan Education Society, Vedavathinagara, KHB Colony, Hiriyyur-572144, Chitradurga	Library	Dharmapura, Hiriyyur Taluk, Chitradurga Distt.	Chitradurga	Not specified under the scheme	Not recommended	<p>(Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 14.1.2011 at S.No.73).</p> <p><b>Subsequently, the case was considered in the Screening Committee meeting dated 13th &amp; 14th May, 2011.</b> NGO has stated vide their letter dated 18.3.11 that the organization is functioning since 2001-02 and running Residential Schools both Secondary and Primary School for development of SCs in rural areas. The date of inspection report and application cum monitoring form was different due to some typing error and the same has been corrected. Further, the copies of Annual Report for the year 2008-09 was enclosed with a request to reconsider application. Library is a part &amp; parcel of the school and it is being run from the beginning, but the organization is requesting for sanction of grant from the year 2009-10 as the unit is separately maintained for development of students.</p> <p>The committee recommended that the position may be got verified from the State Govt./through Nodal officer of the Ministry</p>	<p>From the report received from the Karnataka Government vide their letter dated 19.09.2011, it may be seen that the library is a part of the school being run by the NGO. <b>As such the Committee is of the view that it is not a separate project and need not be recommended for assistance.</b></p>
----	---------	---	---------	--	-------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	---	---

18	Maharashtra	Janaseva Foundation, Indulal Complex, 1st Floor, Above Rupee Bank, Lal Bahadur Shastri Marg, Navi Peth, Pune-411030	Mobile Medical Unit	Sanghvi Complex, Ranwadi (Ambi) Panshet Road, Taluka Velha, Dist. Pune-412107	Pune	Not specified under the scheme		(Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 19.03.2010 at S.No.14). 1. Subject to submission of Memorandum of Association, mandating the activity. 2. Clarification required from the State Government regarding contradictory dates in the Inspection Report. Further the signatures of Inspecting Officer on the first and last page of the Inspection Report do not appear to be identical. 3. All documents are required to be signed in ink, with stamp of the authorized signatory of the organisation.	<b>The Screening Committee recommends the project</b>
----	-------------	---	---------------------	---	------	--------------------------------	--	--	---

(M. B. Deshmukh)  
Member

(Sanjeev Kumar)  
Chairman of the Screening Committee

(Dr. Bezwada Wilson)  
Member

19	Uttarakhand	Indira Rashtriya Chetna Evom Samajotthan Sansthan, Hoshiyari Mandir, Raiwala, Dehradun	SC Residential Junior High School	B.E.G. Camp Road, Hoshiyari Mandir, Raiwala, Dehradun	Dehradun	100	Not recommended	<p>(Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 11.11.2010 at S.No.56).</p> <p>Subsequently, the case was considered in the Screening Committee meeting dated 13th &amp; 14th May, 2011. Govt. of Uttarakhand vide letter No.27/XVII(1)-1/2011-01(74)/2008, dated 12.1.11 has clarified as under:-</p> <p>i) The school has been running since 1.4.2001. In IR the date has been mentioned as 1.7.2001 since academic year starts since 1.7.2001.</p> <p>ii) The number of students have not been mentioned in the Annual Report. They have submitted the Annual Report indicating number of students.</p> <p>iii) Earlier rent agreement was upto 31.3.2010 but it has been extended from 1.4.2010 to 31.3.2015. They have enclosed the copy of rent agreement.</p> <p>The Committee observed that the female literacy rate in Distt. Dehradun is 47.85% as per census 2001, which is much higher than the prescribed norms under the Scheme guidelines as 30%. Hence, not eligible. Not recommended.</p>	<p>This case was considered by the Screening Coimmittee in its meeting held on 11.11.2010. Certain clarifications as per its observations were called for from Government of Uttarakhand and they have responded thereto vide their letter dated 12.01.2011 recieved in the month of March, 2011. Since IFD insisted for cuurent year proposal in many cases, the same has been recieved now in this case. the accounts in four parts viz. income and expenditure account, reciept and payment account, balance sheet and auditor's report for the project for which grant-in-aid has been sought is furnished. But the SC female literacy rate in Distt. Dehradun is 47.85% as per census 2001, which is higher than the prescribed norms under the guidelines as 30%. <b>Hence, not recommended.</b></p>
----	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	---	----------	-----	-----------------	---	--

20	Maharashtra	Sant Gajanan Maharaj Shikshan Prasarak Mandal, Sidewahi, Distt. Chandrapur	Ten Bedded Hospital	At Village Ghot, Tha. Sindewahi, Distt. Chandrapur	Chandrapur	Not specified under the scheme		(Refer : minutes of the Screening Committee Meeting dated 14.1.2011 at S.No.63) Subsequently, the case was considered in the Screening Committee meeting dated 13th & 14th May, 2011. The State Govt. vide their reply dated 29.03.2011 has justified the necessity for three hospitals in the District. The Committee recommended to put up the case on file for decision in the Ministry.	The State Government recommended for sanction of 3rd project in the same district of Chandrapur wherein two projects are already in operation. A decision was taken to put up the case before the Screening Committee once again for consideration. Talking into consideration the strong recommendations of the State Government, <b>the Committee recommended the proposal.</b>
----	-------------	--	---------------------	--	------------	--------------------------------	--	--	---

(M. B. Deshmukh)  
Member

(Sanjeev Kumar)  
Chairman of the Screening Committee

(Dr. Bezwada Wilson)  
Member